HEALTH DEPARTMENT

The 9th September, 1974

No. 7492-2-HBII-74/27915.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the provision of Article 309 of the Constitution of India and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to raise the status of the incumbent of the post of Deputy Director (Ayurveda), Haryana, in the time-scale of Rs 850—50—1,100/50—1,300 in the State of Haryana from Gazetted Class-II to Gazetted Class-I with immediate effect.

M. SETH.

Commissioner for Health and Secy.

The 11th September, 1974

No. 5764-ASOI-HBII-74/27221.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that the land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, for the construction of rural dispensary and staff quarters at Morni in Ambala District, it is hereby notified that land in the locality specified below is required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provision of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the information of all to whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana hereby authorises the officers with their servants and workmen, for the time being engaged in the undertaking to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested who has any objection to the acquisition of any land in the locality may, within a period of thirty days of the publication of this notification in the official gazette, file objections, if any, in writing before the Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Naraingarh, exercising the powers of Collector in Naraingarh Sub-Division.

Plan of the land may be inspected in the office of the Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Naraingarh.

SPECIFICATION

Name of District	Name of Tehsil	Name of Village	Area in acres	Remarks
Ambala	Naraingarh	Morni	0.64 (5 Kanals 2.4 marlas)	Khasra No. 22

M. SETH. S∞y.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

The 16th September, 1974

No. 19183-TA-HR-(4T)-74/9342.—The time allowed for paper of Commercial Book-keeping (without books) referred to in Annexure I of the Subordinate Accounts Services Rules (Ordinary Branch), Part I, may be read as 2½ hours instead of 2 hours.

S. N. BHANOT, Commissioner & Secy.

HARYANA STATE LOTTERIES

The 10th September, 1974

No. DOL/HR/74/11465.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to select the following persons as Judges for the supervision of the Mini Draw to be held on Wednesday, the 11th September, 1974:—

 Shri Raj Kumar Bali, Income Tax Officer, Chandigarh.

- 2. Shri K. Kishore,
 Manager, State Bank of Patiala,
 Chandigarh.
- 3. Shri M. M. Lai Jain, H.S.S. (Retd.), Kothi No. 699, Sector 8-B, Chandigarh.
- 4. Dr. Miss Reena Anand, Dental Surgeon, General Hospital, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
- 5. Mrs. Chugh.

W/o Dr. Kirpa! Singh Chugh, Associate Professor, Post-Graduate Institute of Medica! Education and Research, Sector 12, Chandigarh.

H. K. JAIN, I.A.S.,

Director of Lotteries and Dy. Secy.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 11th/13th September, 1974

No. 8166-4Lab-74/29264.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Conciliation Officer, Ballabgarh, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Plycast (Delhi) Private Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI DHARMENDRA NATH, CONCILIATION OFFICER, BALLABGARH (SOLE ARBITRATOR)

In the matter of an Industrial Dispute

between

THE WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S PLYCAST (DELHI) PVT. LTD., FARIDABAD

Appearance —

- 1. Shri Sunheri Lal, for the workman.
- 2. Shri D. C. Bardwaj, for the management.

AWARD

An industrial dispute having come into existence between the workman and the management of M/s Plycast (Delhi) Pvt. Ltd., Faridabad, the parties agreed to refer the same to my arbitration and the Government then published the arbitration agreement,—vide their notification No. ID/FD/73/44011, dated 16th November, 1973. The specific matter in dispute which is mentioned in the said agreement is as under:—

(1) Whether the termination of service of Shri Krishan Singh is justified and in order? If not, to what relief he is entitled.

After having considered the submissions of the parties, having given a careful consideration to the material placed on record and the arguments advanced by the parties and having applied my mind to the same, my finding on the dispute is as below:—

That Shri Krishan Singh has lost his lien on his appointment and is not entitled to reinstate-ment/re-employment in service. However, in consideration of the fact that the record of previous service of Shri Krishan Singh is clean and there are, therefore, extenuating circumstances I direct the management to pay Rs. 3800 (rupees three thousand eight hundred only) to the workman as compensation which shall include his all other claims including gratuity, wages in lieu of unavailed leave with wages, earned wages, if any.

DHARMENDRA NATH,

Conciliation Officer, Ballabgarh (Sole Arbitrator). Endst. No. 2372, dated Ballabgarh the 30th August, 1974.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 10-A(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 18th June, 1974

DHARMINDRA NATH,

Consiliation Officer, Ballabgarh, (Sole Arbitrator).

The 12th September, 1974

No. 8336-4Lab-74/29523.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, industrial Tribunal, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/S Promain Ltd, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 90 of 1974

SHRI HARBANS LAL WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S PROMAIN LTD; 12/1, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDGBAD

Present:

Namo for the workman.

Shri S. A. S. Jaya Raj, Personnal Officer for the management.

AWARD

Shri Harbans Lal workman concerned was in the service of M/s Promain Ltd., Faridabad. The management brought him under retrenchment with effect from 11th January, 1974, Feeling aggrieved, he raised a dispute which was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal,—vide order No. 1D/26981, dated 19th July, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of subsection (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the following term of reference,

Whether the retrenchment of Shri Harbans Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

Usual notices were given to the parties. The workmen concerned has not turned up nor any authorised representative to conduct the case on his behalf. The management, on the other hand, has pleaded that after the failure of the conciliation proceedings this workman had approached for settlement and an amicable settlement was arrived at with him.

Statement of Shri E. A. S. Jaya Raj, Personnel Officer has been recorded, According to 'him this workman had to be brought under retrenchment along with 7 other workmen because of power shortage and he had been paid the full retrenchment compansation as required by law, However as a gesture of goodwill a sum of Rs. 409.50 more has been paid to him,—vide receipt Exhibit M-1 and copy of the voucher Exhibit M-2 which are duly signed by him. There is apparently no reason to disbelieve the above statement made on behalf of the management especially when the workman concerned is not coming forward to pursue his claim.

In the circumstances, no further proceedings are called for and no dispute award is given in view of the above settlement arrived at between the parties holding that the workman concerned is not entitled to any other relief by way of reinstatement or payment of any dues. There shall be no order as to esots.

Q. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

Dated 22nd August, 1974

Endorsement No. 803, dated 3rd September, 1974

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Q. P. SHARMA,

Dated 22nd August, 1974

Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 8334-4Lab-74/29525.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Breakers Auto Industrias (P) Ltd., Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 108 of 1972

between

SHRI SIRI KRISHAN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BREAKERS AUTO INDUSTRIES (P) LTD., 5 N. H., 44-A, PLOT No. 12, FARIDABAD

Present :

Shri Roshan Lal Sharma for the workman.

Nemo for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute between the management of M/s Breakers Auto Industries (P) Ltd., 5 N.H. 44-A. Plot No. 12. Faridabad and its workman Shri Siri Krishan was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal by order No. ID/FD/72/42126, dated 13th December, 1972 of the Governor of Haryana, inexercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Siri Krishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties. The statement of claim on behalf of the workman concerned has been filed and he has refer ated his demand for reinstatement and payment of back wages, as earlier raised through the demand notice dated 17th August, 1972 which forms part of the reference. Service of the management, however, could not be effected and it has been reported that the factory has not inexistence at the site as por the address given in the order of reference. The workman concerned was directed to furnish correct address with full particulars so that service of the management could be effected but he has failed to do so in spite of getting suitable adjournments for the purpose. His authorised representative Shri Roshan Lal Sharma, states that the whereabouts of the workman are also not known and he has received no instructions from him with regard to the present address of the management.

In the circumstances, the reference cannot proceed and shall stand rejected. The workman would, however, be at liberty to raise a fresh dispute after giving demand notice to the management, as required by law, on the present address of the factory, if any in existence. There shall be no order as to costs.

O.P. SHARMA,

Dated the 21st August, 1974.

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad,

No. 802, dated 3rd September, 1974

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O.P. SHARMA,

Dated 21st August, 1974

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad.